

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/092,083	Applicant(s) MCCALLISTER ET AL.	
	Examiner Shaojia A Jiang	Art Unit 1617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Shaojia A Jiang. (3) _____
 (2) Mr. Robert W. Hahl. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 November 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all claims

Identification of prior art discussed: all cited prior art

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicants attorney/agent would limit the claims herein by reciting the effective amount of the ingredients employed in the method herein.
 (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

The Applicant would file a declaration to present the data for neutralization capacity of the composition.
Written Response would be considered carefully.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

SAG 4/17/03
 Examiner's signature, if required